

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/009,161 FILING DATE 12/05/2001		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Hardy Reuter	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8328
		12/05/2001		IN-5542	
26922	7590	09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
BASF CORPORATION ANNE GERRY SABOURIN				MAYEKAR, KISHOR	
26701 TELE	GRAPH	ROAD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIE	LD, MI	48034-2442		1753	
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	ļ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/4
			C
Office Action Summary	10/009,161	REUTER ET AL.	
omee Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE of the	Kishor Mayekar	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with a	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing according to the period for reply will be officed that the mailing according to the period for reply will be officed that the mailing that the period for reply will be officed that the mailing that the period for reply will be officed that the mail of the period for reply will be officed that the mail of the period for reply will be officed that the mail of the period for reply will be officed that the period for reply will be officed that the period for reply will be officed the period for reply will be officed that the period for reply will be officed that the period for reply will be officed th	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS le. cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  From the mailing date of this communicati	on.
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status			
1) Posponijvo to communication (a) Flad an ag			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 .</u> 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final.	proposition as to the second	
closed in accordance with the practice under			iS
Disposition of Claims	ex parte Quayre, 1000 C.D. 1	1, 400 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) <u>8,12-16 and 20-23</u> is/are pending in			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iwn from consideration.		
5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>8, 12-16 and 20-23</u> is/are rejected.			
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement		
	olosion requirement.	1	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Of	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121( fice Action or form PTO-152	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applic	cation No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not rece	ived.	
ls -			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)	
. Patent and Trademark Office			

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 8 and 12-16 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over TOMINAGA et al. (4,134,866), a reference cited in the last Office action. The reference's invention is directed to a cationic coating composition. The reference discloses in abstract and col. 7, lines 27-34 that the coating composition comprises a binder and a soluble polyvinyl alcohol, and in col. 7, lines 39-48 a process for coating an electrically conductive substrate. The difference between the reference and the above claims is the use of the claimed polyvinyl alcohol. The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the reference's teachings because it has been held that "closely related homologs, analogs and isomers in chemistry may create a prima facie case of obviousness, In re Dillon 16 USPQ 2d 1897; In re Henze 85 USPQ 261; In re Hass 60 USPQ 544;

Application/Control Number: 10/009,161

Art Unit: 1753

In re Mills 126 USPQ 513. Further, since Applicant has not provided of any unobvious and unexpected results from the use of the claimed polyvinyl alcohol, the selection of any of known equivalent polyvinyl alcohol would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art as asserted by the examiner in the last Office action.

As to the subject matter of each of claims 12-14 and 20-22, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the reference's teachings because it has been settled that proper adjustment of a known effective variable of a known or obvious process is within the capabilities of one having ordinary skill in the art. In re Aller 105 USPQ 233; In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215.

3. Claims 8 and 12-16 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over HOEFER et al. (6,146,512)), a reference cited in the last Office action. The reference's invention is directed to a method of coating electrically conductive substrate. The reference discloses in abstract and col. 9, lines 7-15 and lines 44-65 that a coating composition comprises a binder and a soluble polyvinyl alcohol, and the process comprises all the steps as claimed. The

Application/Control Number: 10/009,161

Art Unit: 1753

difference between the reference and the above claims is the use of the claimed polyvinyl alcohol. The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the reference's teachings because it has been held that "closely related homologs, analogs and isomers in chemistry may create a prima facie case of obviousness, In re Dillon 16 USPQ 2d 1897; In re Henze 85 USPQ 261; In re Hass 60 USPQ 544; In re Mills 126 USPQ 513. Further, since Applicant has not provided of any unobvious and unexpected results from the use of the claimed polyvinyl alcohol, the selection of any of known equivalent polyvinyl alcohol would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art as asserted by the examiner in the last Office action.

As to the subject matter of each of claims 12-14 and 20-22, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the reference's teachings because it has been settled that proper adjustment of a known effective variable of a known or obvious process is within the capabilities of one having ordinary skill in the art. In re Aller 105 USPQ 233; In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,161

Art Unit: 1753

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 28 June 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in view of the paragraphs as set forth above.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

Art Unit: 1753

the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753